



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.04.08 Genetic Testing for Lynch Syndrome and Other Inherited Colon Cancer Syndromes

Effective Policy Date: January 1, 2022

Original Policy Date: March 2012

Related Policies:

2.04.101 - Genetic Testing for Li-Fraumeni Syndrome

2.04.53 - Biomarker Testing (Including Liquid Biopsy) for Targeted Treatment and Immunotherapy in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer

2.04.88 - Genetic Testing for PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome

Genetic Testing for Lynch Syndrome and Other Inherited Colon Cancer Syndromes

Description

Description

Genetic testing is available for both those with and those at risk for various types of hereditary cancer. This review evaluates genetic testing for hereditary colorectal cancer (CRC) and polyposis syndromes, including familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), Lynch syndrome (formerly known as hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer), *MUTYH*-associated polyposis (MAP), Lynch syndrome-related endometrial cancer, juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS), and Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS).

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to assess whether the use of genetic testing improves the net health outcome in patients with Lynch syndrome and other inherited colon cancer syndromes. This review does not address individuals without a personal history of cancer, nor screening or presymptomatic use of genetic tests and services.

POLICY STATEMENT

APC Testing

Genetic testing of the *APC* gene may be considered **medically necessary** in the following patients:

- Patients with a differential diagnosis of attenuated FAP versus *MUTYH*-associated polyposis (MAP) versus Lynch syndrome. Whether testing begins with *APC* variants or screening for mismatch repair (MMR) variants depends on clinical presentation.

Genetic testing for *APC* gene variants is **not medically necessary** for colorectal cancer (CRC) patients with classical FAP for confirmation of the FAP diagnosis.

MUTYH Testing

Genetic testing of the *MUTYH* gene may be considered **medically necessary** in the following patients:

- Patients with a differential diagnosis of attenuated FAP versus MAP versus Lynch syndrome and a negative result for *APC* gene variants. A family history of no parents or children with FAP is consistent with MAP (autosomal recessive).

Testing for germline *MUTYH* gene variants for inherited CRC syndromes is considered **investigational** in all other situations.

MMR Gene Testing

Genetic testing of MMR genes (*MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *PMS2*) may be considered **medically necessary** in the following patients:

- Patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) with tumor testing suggesting germline MMR deficiency or meeting clinical criteria for Lynch syndrome (see Policy Guidelines section).
- Patients with endometrial cancer with tumor testing suggesting germline MMR deficiency or meeting clinical criteria for Lynch syndrome (see Policy Guidelines section).
- Patients with a differential diagnosis of attenuated FAP versus MAP versus Lynch syndrome. Whether testing begins with *APC* variants or screening for MMR genes depends on clinical presentation.

EPCAM Testing

Genetic testing of the *EPCAM* gene may be considered **medically necessary** when the following criteria (solid bullets) is met:

- Patients with CRC, for the diagnosis of Lynch syndrome (see Policy Guidelines section) when:
 - Tumor tissue shows lack of MSH2 protein expression by immunohistochemistry and patient is negative for an *MSH2* germline variant; OR
 - Tumor tissue shows a high level of microsatellite instability and patient is negative for a germline variant in *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, and *PMS2*

BRAF V600E or MLH1 promoter methylation

Somatic genetic testing for *BRAF* V600E or *MLH1* promoter methylation may be considered **medically necessary** to exclude a diagnosis of Lynch syndrome when the MLH1 protein is not expressed in a CRC tumor on immunohistochemical analysis.

Testing for somatic *BRAF* V600E or *MLH1* promoter methylation to exclude a diagnosis of Lynch syndrome is considered **investigational** in all other situations.

SMAD4 and BMPR1A Testing

Genetic testing of *SMAD4* and *BMPR1A* genes may be considered **medically necessary** when the following major criteria is met:

- Patients with a clinical diagnosis of juvenile polyposis syndrome based on the presence of any 1 of the following:
 - at least 5 juvenile polyps in the colon
 - multiple juvenile polyps found throughout the gastrointestinal tract
 - any number of juvenile polyps in a person with a known family history of juvenile polyps.

STK11 Testing

Genetic testing for *STK11* gene variants may be considered **medically necessary** when the following criteria is met:

- Patients with a clinical diagnosis of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome based on the presence of any 2 of the following:
 - presence of 2 or more histologically confirmed Peutz-Jeghers polyps of the gastrointestinal tract.
 - characteristic mucocutaneous pigmentation of the mouth, lips, nose, eyes, genitalia, or fingers
 - family history of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome.

Other Variants

Genetic testing of all other genes for an inherited CRC syndrome is considered **investigational**.

Genetic Counseling

Pre- and posttest genetic counseling may be considered **medically necessary** as an adjunct to the genetic testing itself.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Evaluation for Lynch Syndrome

For patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) or endometrial cancer being evaluated for Lynch syndrome, the microsatellite instability (MSI) test or the immunohistochemical (IHC) test with or without *BRAF* gene variant testing, or methylation testing, should be used as an initial evaluation of tumor tissue before mismatch repair (MMR) gene analysis. Both tests are not necessary. Proceeding to MMR gene sequencing would depend on results of MSI or IHC testing. In particular, IHC testing may help direct which MMR gene likely contains a variant, if any, and may also provide additional information if MMR genetic testing is inconclusive. For further information on tumor tissue test results, interpretation, and additional testing options, see the NCCN [National Comprehensive Cancer Network] clinical care guidelines on genetic/familial high risk assessment: colorectal.

When indicated, genetic sequencing for MMR gene variants should begin with *MLH1* and *MSH2* genes, unless otherwise directed by the results of IHC testing. Standard sequencing methods will not detect large deletions or duplications; when MMR gene variants are expected based on IHC or MSI studies, but none are found by standard sequencing, additional testing for large deletions or duplications is appropriate.

Genetic Counseling

Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing. Further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient's existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

This policy also assumes that the microsatellite instability test or the immunohistochemical test as an initial evaluation for Lynch syndrome is performed as part of the routine pathologic evaluation of the colorectal or endometrial cancer specimen. Thus, this policy deals only with testing for genetic variants. Proceeding to DNA mismatch repair gene sequencing would depend on the results of microsatellite instability and immunohistochemical testing. Microsatellite instability and immunohistochemical testing may also provide additional information when genetic testing for nonpolyposis colorectal cancer is inconclusive.

The complex patient selection criteria requiring a detailed family history are not readily available on claim forms. Also, genetic testing is a multistep procedure that is currently coded using a series of nonspecific CPT codes. For these reasons, the most efficient application of this policy may be its use as a tool for prospective or retrospective review.

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). Genetic tests reviewed in this evidence review are available under the auspices of the CLIA. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who are suspected of attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), *MUTYH*-associated polyposis (MAP), and Lynch syndrome who receive genetic testing for adenomatous polyposis coli (*APC*), or are at-risk relatives of patients with FAP who receive genetic testing for *MUTYH* after a negative *APC* test result, the evidence includes a TEC Assessment. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, and test accuracy and validity. For patients with an *APC* variant, enhanced surveillance and/or prophylactic treatment will reduce the future incidence of colon cancer and improve health outcomes. A related familial polyposis syndrome, MAP syndrome, is associated with variants in the *MUTYH* gene. Testing for this genetic variant is necessary when the differential diagnosis includes both FAP and MAP because distinguishing between the 2 leads to different management strategies. Depending on the presentation, Lynch syndrome may be part of the same differential diagnosis. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who (1) are suspected of attenuated FAP, MAP, and Lynch syndrome, or (2) have colon cancer, or (3) have endometrial cancer meeting clinical criteria for Lynch syndrome, who receive genetic testing for mismatch repair (MMR) genes, the evidence includes an Agency for Healthcare

Research and Quality report, a supplemental assessment to that report by the Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention Working Group, and an Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention recommendation for genetic testing in colorectal cancer (CRC). Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, and test accuracy and validity. A positive genetic test for a MMR variant can also lead to changes in the management of other Lynch syndrome malignancies. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who warrant Lynch testing, screen negative on MMR testing, but positive for microsatellite instability (MSI) and lack MSH2 protein expression who receive genetic testing for *EPCAM* variants, the evidence includes variant prevalence studies and case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, and test accuracy and validity. Identification of an *EPCAM* variant could lead to changes in management that improve health outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have CRC in whom MLH1 protein is not expressed on IHC analysis who receive genetic testing for *BRAF* V600E or *MLH1* promoter methylation, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, and test accuracy and validity. Studies have shown, with high sensitivity and specificity, an association between *BRAF* V600E variant and *MLH1* promoter methylation with sporadic CRC. Therefore, this type of testing could eliminate the need for further genetic testing or counseling for Lynch syndrome. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who (1) are suspected of JPS or PJS or (2) are at-risk relatives of patients suspected of or diagnosed with JPS or PJS who receive genetic testing for *SMAD4*, *BMPR1A*, or *STK11* genes, respectively, the evidence includes multiple observational studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, and test accuracy and validity. Studies have shown, with high sensitivity and specificity, an association between *SMAD4* and *BMPR1A* and *STK11* variants with JPS and PJS, respectively. Direct evidence of clinical utility for genetic testing of JPS or PJS is not available. Genetic testing may have clinical utility by avoiding burdensome and invasive endoscopic examinations, release from intensified screening programs resulting in psychological relief. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

The NCCN guidelines on high-risk colorectal cancer syndromes (v.1.2021) are summarized in Table 1.[77](#)

Table 1. Criteria for Evaluation of Lynch Syndrome

Criteria for the Evaluation of Lynch Syndrome
Known LS variant in the family
An individual with colorectal or endometrial cancer and any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosed <50 y • Another synchronous or metachronous LS-related cancer^a • 1 first-degree or second-degree relative with LS-related^a cancer diagnosed <50 y • ≥2 first-degree or second-degree relatives with LS-related^a cancers regardless of age
An individual with colorectal or endometrial cancer at any age with tumor showing evidence of MMR deficiency, either by PCR, NGS, or IHC diagnosed at any age. ^{bb}
Family history of any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥1 first-degree relative with colorectal or endometrial cancer diagnosed <50 y • ≥1 first-degree relative with colorectal or endometrial cancer and another synchronous or metachronous LS-related cancer^a • ≥2 first-degree or second-degree relatives with LS-related cancer,^a including ≥1 diagnosed <50 y • ≥3 first-degree or second-degree relatives with LS-related cancers,^a regardless of age
An individual with an LS-related cancer ^a or unaffected individual with ≥5% risk ^c of having an MMR gene variant based on predictive models (PREMM5, MMRpro, MMRpredict)

IHC: immunohistochemistry; LS: Lynch syndrome; MMR: mismatch repair; MSI: microsatellite instability; NGS: next generation sequencing; PCR: polymerase chain reaction.

^a LS-related cancers include colorectal, endometrial, gastric, ovarian, pancreas, ureter and renal pelvis, brain (usually glioblastoma), biliary tract, small intestinal cancers, as well as sebaceous carcinomas, and keratoacanthomas as seen in Muir-Torre syndrome.

^b Tumor screening for MMR deficiency is appropriate for all colorectal and endometrial cancers regardless of age at diagnosis, however, germline genetic testing is generally reserved for patients with early age at diagnosis; positive family history; or abnormal tumor testing results; MSI or loss of MMR protein expression.

^c There are recent data that resulted in a lower threshold of ≥2.5% for the PREMM5 predictive model risk for having an MMR gene variant. Based on these data, it is reasonable for testing to be done based on the ≥2.5% score result and clinical judgment. Of note, with the lower threshold, there is an increase in sensitivity, but a decrease in specificity. It is not known how this applies to the general population of unaffected individuals.

Genetic Testing Recommendations for Lynch Syndrome

Screening of the tumor for defective DNA mismatch repair (MMR) using immunohistochemistry (IHC) and/or microsatellite instability (MSI) is used to identify which patients should undergo mutation testing for Lynch syndrome.²⁷ The NCCN guidelines also indicate *BRAF* V600E testing or *MLH1* promoter methylation testing may be used when *MLH1* is not expressed in the tumor on IHC analysis to exclude a diagnosis of Lynch syndrome.

The NCCN guidelines for colon cancer (v.2.2021) recommend that all newly diagnosed patients with colon cancer be tested for MMR or MSI.²⁶

The NCCN guidelines for uterine neoplasm (v.3.2021) also recommend universal screening for MMR genes.²⁷ Additionally, the NCCN guidelines recommend screening for Lynch syndrome in all endometrial cancer patients younger than 50 years.

The NCCN guidelines for genetic/familial high-risk assessment: colorectal (v1.2021) recommend genetic testing for at-risk family members of patients with positive variants in *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *PMS2*, and *EPCAM*.²⁷ These guidelines also address familial adenomatous polyposis (classical and attenuated) and *MUTYH*-associated polyposis and are consistent with the information provided in this evidence review.

Surveillance Recommendations for Lynch Syndrome

The NCCN guidelines for colon cancer (v.2.2021)²⁶ and for colorectal cancer (CRC) screening (v.2.2021)⁷⁸ recommend CRC patients treated with curative-intent surgery undergo surveillance colonoscopy at 1 year post-surgery and, if normal, again in 3 years, then every 5 years based on findings.

The NCCN guidelines on genetic/familial high-risk assessment for CRC indicate for MLH1, MSH2, and EPCAM variant carriers that surveillance with colonoscopy should begin "at age 20 to 25 years or 2 to 5 years before the earliest colon cancer if it is diagnosed before age 25 years and repeat every 1 to 2 years."⁷⁷

MSH6 and PMS2 variant carriers should begin surveillance with colonoscopy "at age 30 to 35 years or 2 to 5 years before the earliest colon cancer if it is diagnosed before age 30 years and repeat every 1 to 2 years"⁷⁷.

Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome and Juvenile Polyposis Syndrome

There are limited data on the efficacy of various screening modalities in juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS) and Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS). The NCCN cancer risk and surveillance 2 category 2A recommendations for these indications are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.⁷⁷

Table 2. Risk and Surveillance Guidelines for Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome

Site	Lifetime Risk, %	Screening Procedure and Interval	Initiation Age, y
Breast	32 to 54	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mammogram and breast MRI annually Clinical breast exam every 6 mo 	30 y
Colon	39	Colonoscopy every 2 to 3 y	Late teens
Stomach	29	Upper endoscopy every 2 to 3 y	Late teens
Small intestine	13	Small bowel visualization (CT or MRI enterography or video capsule endoscopy baseline at 8 to 10 y with follow-up interval based on findings but at least by age 18, then every 2 to 3 y, though this may be individualized, or with symptoms)	8 to 10 y
Pancreas	11 to 36	Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography with contrast or endoscopic ultrasound every 1 to 2 h	30 to 35 y
Ovary; Cervix (typically cervical adenoma malignum) Uterus	18 to 21 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pelvic examination and Pap smear annually 	18 to 20 y
Ovary (typically benign sex cord/Sertoli cell tumors)	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pelvic examination and Pap smear annually 	18 to 20 y
Lung	7 to 17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide education about symptoms and smoking cessation 	

- No other specific recommendations have been made

CT: computed tomography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.

Table 3. Risk and Surveillance Guidelines for Juvenile Polyposis Syndrome

Site	Lifetime Risk, %	Screening Procedure and Interval	Initiation Age, y
Colon	up to 50	Colonoscopy: Repeat every 2 to 3 years. If polyps are found, repeat at shorter intervals based on polyp size, number, and pathology. ^a	18 y
Stomach	up to 21 especially if multiple polyps present	Upper endoscopy: Repeat every 2 to 3 years. If polyps are found, repeat at shorter intervals based on polyp size, number, and pathology. ^a	18 y
Small intestine	Rare, undefined	No recommendations made	

^a In families where a SMAD4 or BMPR1A mutation is not identified, consider extending colonoscopy/upper endoscopy intervals in at-risk individuals who have no polyps from 2 to 3 years to 5 years beginning at age 20, and then every 10 years beginning at age 40s,

American College of Gastroenterology

The American College of Gastroenterology (2015) issued practice guidelines for the management of patients with hereditary gastrointestinal cancer syndromes.²¹

For Lynch syndrome, the College recommended:

"All newly diagnosed colorectal cancers (CRCs) should be evaluated for mismatch repair deficiency.

Analysis may be done by immunohistochemical testing for the *MLH1/MSH2/MSH6/PMS2* proteins and/or testing for microsatellite instability. Tumors that demonstrate loss of *MLH1* should undergo BRAF testing or analysis for *MLH1* promoter hypermethylation.

Individuals who have a personal history of a tumor showing evidence of mismatch repair deficiency (and no demonstrated BRAF variant or hypermethylation of *MLH1*), a known family variant associated with LS [Lynch syndrome], or a risk of $\geq 5\%$ chance of LS based on risk prediction models should undergo genetic evaluation for LS.⁷⁹

Genetic testing of patients with suspected LS should include germline variant genetic testing for the *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *PMS2*, and/or *EPCAM* genes or the altered gene(s) indicated by IHC testing."

For adenomatous polyposis syndromes, the College recommended:

"Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP)/*MUTYH*-associated polyposis/attenuated polyposis

Individuals who have a personal history of >10 cumulative colorectal adenomas, a family history of one of the adenomatous polyposis syndromes, or a history of adenomas and FAP-type extracolonic manifestations (duodenal/ampullary adenomas, desmoid tumors, papillary thyroid cancer, congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium, epidermal cysts, osteomas) should undergo assessment for the adenomatous polyposis syndromes.

Genetic testing of patients with suspected adenomatous polyposis syndromes should include *APC* and *MUTYH* gene variant analysis."

For *juvenile polyposis syndrome*, the College recommended:

"Genetic evaluation of a patient with possible JPS [juvenile polyposis syndrome] should include testing for *SMAD4* and *BMPR1A* mutations"

"Surveillance of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract in affected or at-risk JPS patients should include screening for colon, stomach, and small bowel cancers (strong recommendation, very low quality of evidence).

Colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis or proctocolectomy and ileal pouch-anal anastomosis is indicated for polyp-related symptoms, or when the polyps cannot be managed endoscopically (strong recommendation, low quality of evidence).

Cardiovascular examination for and evaluation for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia should be considered for *SMAD4* mutation carriers (conditional recommendation, very low quality of evidence)."

For Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, the College recommended:

"Genetic evaluation of a patient with possible PJS [Peutz-Jeghers syndrome] should include testing for *STK11* mutations."

"Surveillance in affected or at-risk PJS patients should include monitoring for colon, stomach, small bowel, pancreas, breast, ovary, uterus, cervix, and testes cancers. Risk for lung cancer is increased, but no specific screening has been recommended. It would seem wise to consider annual chest radiograph or chest computed tomography (CT) in smokers (conditional recommendation, low quality of evidence)."

American Society of Clinical Oncology and Society of Surgical Oncology

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (2015) concluded the European Society for Medical Oncology clinical guidelines published in 2013 were based on the most relevant scientific evidence and therefore endorsed them with minor qualifying statements (in bold italics).⁸⁰ The recommendations as related to genetic testing hereditary CRC syndromes are summarized below:

- "Tumor testing **for DNA mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency** with immunohistochemistry for MMR proteins and/or MSI should be **assessed** in all CRC patients. As an alternate strategy, tumor testing should be carried out in individuals with CRC younger than 70 years, or those older than 70 years who fulfill any of the revised Bethesda guidelines.
- If loss of MLH1/PMS2 **protein expression** is observed in the tumor, analysis of *BRAF* V600E mutation or analysis of methylation of the *MLH1* promoter should be carried out first to rule out a sporadic case. **If tumor is MMR deficient and somatic BRAF mutation is not detected or MLH1 promoter methylation is not identified, testing for germline mutations is indicated.**
- If loss of any of the other proteins (MSH2, MSH6, PMS2) is observed, germline genetic testing should be carried out **for the genes corresponding to the absent proteins (eg, MSH2, MSH6, EPCAM, PMS2, or MLH1).**
- Full germline genetic testing **for Lynch syndrome** should include DNA sequencing and large rearrangement analysis.
- Patients with multiple colorectal adenomas should be considered for full germline genetic testing of *APC* and/or *MUTYH*.
- Germline testing of *MUTYH* can be initiated by screening for the most common mutations (*G396D*, *Y179C*) in the white population followed by analysis of the entire gene in heterozygotes. Founder mutations among ethnic groups should be taken into account. **For nonwhite individuals, full sequencing of MUTYH should be considered.**"

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

No U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendations for genetic testing of Lynch syndrome and other inherited colon cancer syndromes have been identified.

Medicare National Coverage

Under Medicare, genetic tests for cancer are a covered benefit only for a beneficiary with a personal history of an illness, injury, or signs/symptoms thereof (ie, clinically affected). A person with a personal history of a relevant cancer is a clinically affected person, even if the cancer is considered cured. Predictive or presymptomatic genetic tests and services, in the absence of past or present illness in the beneficiary, are not covered under national Medicare rules. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services recognizes Lynch syndrome as "an autosomal dominant syndrome that

accounts for about 3% to 5% of colorectal cancer cases. [Lynch] syndrome variants occur in the following genes: *hMLH1*, *hMSH2*, *hMSH6*, *PMS2*, and *EPCAM*.” The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services also recognize familial adenomatous polyposis and *MUTYH*-associated polyposis syndromes and their associated variants.

REFERENCES

1. Vasen HF, Watson P, Mecklin JP, et al. New clinical criteria for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC, Lynch syndrome) proposed by the International Collaborative group on HNPCC. *Gastroenterology*. Jun 1999; 116(6): 1453-6. PMID 10348829
2. Umar A, Boland CR, Terdiman JP, et al. Revised Bethesda Guidelines for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome) and microsatellite instability. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. Feb 18 2004; 96(4): 261-8. PMID 14970275
3. Kastrinos F, Uno H, Ukaegbu C, et al. Development and Validation of the PREMM 5 Model for Comprehensive Risk Assessment of Lynch Syndrome. *J Clin Oncol*. Jul 01 2017; 35(19): 2165-2172. PMID 28489507
4. Vogt S, Jones N, Christian D, et al. Expanded extracolonic tumor spectrum in *MUTYH*-associated polyposis. *Gastroenterology*. Dec 2009; 137(6): 1976-85.e1-10. PMID 19732775
5. Balmana J, Castells A, Cervantes A. Familial colorectal cancer risk: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol*. May 2010; 21 Suppl 5: v78-81. PMID 20555108
6. Gala M, Chung DC. Hereditary colon cancer syndromes. *Semin Oncol*. Aug 2011; 38(4): 490-9. PMID 21810508
7. Quehenberger F, Vasen HF, van Houtwelingen HC. Risk of colorectal and endometrial cancer for carriers of mutations of the *hMLH1* and *hMSH2* gene: correction for ascertainment. *J Med Genet*. Jun 2005; 42(6): 491-6. PMID 15937084
8. Guindalini RS, Win AK, Gulden C, et al. Mutation spectrum and risk of colorectal cancer in African American families with Lynch syndrome. *Gastroenterology*. Nov 2015; 149(6): 1446-53. PMID 26248088
9. Sinn DH, Chang DK, Kim YH, et al. Effectiveness of each Bethesda marker in defining microsatellite instability when screening for Lynch syndrome. *Hepatogastroenterology*. May-Jun 2009; 56(91-92): 672-6. PMID 19621678
10. Wu Y, Berends MJ, Mensink RG, et al. Association of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer-related tumors displaying low microsatellite instability with *MSH6* germline mutations. *Am J Hum Genet*. Nov 1999; 65(5): 1291-8. PMID 10521294
11. Goel A, Nagasaka T, Spiegel J, et al. Low frequency of Lynch syndrome among young patients with non-familial colorectal cancer. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Nov 2010; 8(11): 966-71. PMID 20655395
12. Palomaki GE, McClain MR, Melillo S, et al. EGAPP supplementary evidence review: DNA testing strategies aimed at reducing morbidity and mortality from Lynch syndrome. *Genet Med*. Jan 2009; 11(1): 42-65. PMID 19125127
13. Bouzourene H, Hutter P, Losi L, et al. Selection of patients with germline *MLH1* mutated Lynch syndrome by determination of *MLH1* methylation and *BRAF* mutation. *Fam Cancer*. Jun 2010; 9(2): 167-72. PMID 19949877
14. Niessen RC, Hofstra RM, Westers H, et al. Germline hypermethylation of *MLH1* and *EPCAM* deletions are a frequent cause of Lynch syndrome. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. Aug 2009; 48(8): 737-44. PMID 19455606
15. Hesson LB, Hitchins MP, Ward RL. Epimutations and cancer predisposition: importance and mechanisms. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. Jun 2010; 20(3): 290-8. PMID 20359882
16. Hitchins MP. Inheritance of epigenetic aberrations (constitutional epimutations) in cancer susceptibility. *Adv Genet*. 2010; 70: 201-43. PMID 20920750
17. Latchford AR, Neale K, Phillips RK, et al. Juvenile polyposis syndrome: a study of genotype, phenotype, and long-term outcome. *Dis Colon Rectum*. Oct 2012; 55(10): 1038-43. PMID 22965402
18. Howe JR, Roth S, Ringold JC, et al. Mutations in the *SMAD4/DPC4* gene in juvenile polyposis. *Science*. May 15 1998; 280(5366): 1086-8. PMID 9582123
19. Fogt F, Brown CA, Badizadegan K, et al. Low prevalence of loss of heterozygosity and *SMAD4* mutations in sporadic and familial juvenile polyposis syndrome-associated juvenile polyps. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Oct 2004; 99(10): 2025-31. PMID 15447767
20. Burger B, Uhlhaas S, Mangold E, et al. Novel de novo mutation of *MADH4/SMAD4* in a patient with juvenile polyposis. *Am J Med Genet*. Jul 01 2002; 110(3): 289-91. PMID 12116240
21. Syngal S, Brand RE, Church JM, et al. ACG clinical guideline: Genetic testing and management of hereditary gastrointestinal cancer syndromes. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Feb 2015; 110(2): 223-62; quiz 263. PMID 25645574
22. Grotzky HW, Rickert RR, Smith WD, et al. Familial juvenile polyposis coli. A clinical and pathologic study of a large kindred. *Gastroenterology*. Mar 1982; 82(3): 494-501. PMID 7054044
23. Schreiberman IR, Baker M, Amos C, et al. The hamartomatous polyposis syndromes: a clinical and molecular review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Feb 2005; 100(2): 476-90. PMID 15667510
24. Brosens LA, van Hattem A, Hylind LM, et al. Risk of colorectal cancer in juvenile polyposis. *Gut*. Jul 2007; 56(7): 965-7. PMID 17303595
25. Gallione CJ, Repetto GM, Legius E, et al. A combined syndrome of juvenile polyposis and hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia associated with mutations in *MADH4 (SMAD4)*. *Lancet*. Mar 13 2004; 363(9412): 852-9. PMID 15031030
26. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Colon Cancer. Version 2.2021. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf. Accessed August 6, 2021.
27. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Uterine Neoplasms. Version 3.2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/uterine.pdf Accessed August 11, 2021.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

28. Olschwang S, Markie D, Seal S, et al. Peutz-Jeghers disease: most, but not all, families are compatible with linkage to 19p13.3. *J Med Genet.* Jan 1998; 35(1): 42-4. PMID 9475093
29. Jenne DE, Reimann H, Nezu J, et al. Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is caused by mutations in a novel serine threonine kinase. *Nat Genet.* Jan 1998; 18(1): 38-43. PMID 9425897
30. Hemminki A, Markie D, Tomlinson I, et al. A serine/threonine kinase gene defective in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. *Nature.* Jan 08 1998; 391(6663): 184-7. PMID 9428765
31. Hernan I, Roig I, Martin B, et al. De novo germline mutation in the serine-threonine kinase STK11/LKB1 gene associated with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. *Clin Genet.* Jul 2004; 66(1): 58-62. PMID 15200509
32. van Lier MG, Wagner A, Mathus-Vliegen EM, et al. High cancer risk in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a systematic review and surveillance recommendations. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Jun 2010; 105(6): 1258-64; author reply 1265. PMID 20051941
33. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Genetic Testing for Inherited Susceptibility to Colorectal Cancer: Part I Adenomatous Polyposis Coli Gene Mutations. TEC Assessments. 1998; Volume 13: Tab 10. PMID
34. Kastrinos F, Syngal S. Recently identified colon cancer predispositions: MYH and MSH6 mutations. *Semin Oncol.* Oct 2007; 34(5): 418-24. PMID 17920897
35. Lefevre JH, Parc Y, Svrcek M, et al. APC, MYH, and the correlation genotype-phenotype in colorectal polyposis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* Apr 2009; 16(4): 871-7. PMID 19169759
36. Avezzu A, Agostini M, Pucciarelli S, et al. The role of MYH gene in genetic predisposition to colorectal cancer: another piece of the puzzle. *Cancer Lett.* Sep 18 2008; 268(2): 308-13. PMID 18495334
37. Balaguer F, Castellvi-Bel S, Castells A, et al. Identification of MYH mutation carriers in colorectal cancer: a multicenter, case-control, population-based study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* Mar 2007; 5(3): 379-87. PMID 17368238
38. Jasperson KW, Patel SG, Ahnen DJ. APC-Associated Polyposis Conditions. In: Pagon RA, Adam MP, Ardinger HH, et al., eds. *GeneReviews.* Seattle, WA: University of Washington; 2017.
39. Lagarde A, Rouleau E, Ferrari A, et al. Germline APC mutation spectrum derived from 863 genomic variations identified through a 15-year medical genetics service to French patients with FAP. *J Med Genet.* Oct 2010; 47(10): 721-2. PMID 20685668
40. Aretz S, Stienen D, Uhlhaas S, et al. Large submicroscopic genomic APC deletions are a common cause of typical familial adenomatous polyposis. *J Med Genet.* Feb 2005; 42(2): 185-92. PMID 15689459
41. Bunyan DJ, Eccles DM, Sillibourne J, et al. Dosage analysis of cancer predisposition genes by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Br J Cancer.* Sep 13 2004; 91(6): 1155-9. PMID 15475941
42. Out AA, Tops CM, Nielsen M, et al. Leiden Open Variation Database of the MUTYH gene. *Hum Mutat.* Nov 2010; 31(11): 1205-15. PMID 20725929
43. Nielsen M, Lynch H, Infante E, et al. MUTYH-Associated Polyposis. In: Pagon RA, Adam MP, Ardinger HH, eds. *GeneReviews* Seattle, WA: University of Washington; 2012.
44. Sieber OM, Lamlum H, Crabtree MD, et al. Whole-gene APC deletions cause classical familial adenomatous polyposis, but not attenuated polyposis or "multiple" colorectal adenomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* Mar 05 2002; 99(5): 2954-8. PMID 11867715
45. Aretz S, Uhlhaas S, Goergens H, et al. MUTYH-associated polyposis: 70 of 71 patients with biallelic mutations present with an attenuated or atypical phenotype. *Int J Cancer.* Aug 15 2006; 119(4): 807-14. PMID 16557584
46. Michils G, Tejpar S, Thoelen R, et al. Large deletions of the APC gene in 15% of mutation-negative patients with classical polyposis (FAP): a Belgian study. *Hum Mutat.* Feb 2005; 25(2): 125-34. PMID 15643602
47. Truta B, Allen BA, Conrad PG, et al. A comparison of the phenotype and genotype in adenomatous polyposis patients with and without a family history. *Fam Cancer.* 2005; 4(2): 127-33. PMID 15951963
48. Bonis PA, Trikalinos TA, Chung M, et al. Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer: Diagnostic Strategies and Their Implications (Evidence Report/Technology Assessment No. 150). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007.
49. Berg AO, Armstrong K, Botkin J, et al. Recommendations from the EGAPP Working Group: genetic testing strategies in newly diagnosed individuals with colorectal cancer aimed at reducing morbidity and mortality from Lynch syndrome in relatives. *Genet Med.* Jan 2009; 11(1): 35-41. PMID 19125126
50. Vos JR, Fakkert IE, Spruijt L, et al. Evaluation of yield and experiences of age-related molecular investigation for heritable and nonheritable causes of mismatch repair deficient colorectal cancer to identify Lynch syndrome. *Int J Cancer.* Oct 15 2020; 147(8): 2150-2158. PMID 32510614
51. Kloor M, Voigt AY, Schackert HK, et al. Analysis of EPCAM protein expression in diagnostics of Lynch syndrome. *J Clin Oncol.* Jan 10 2011; 29(2): 223-7. PMID 21115857
52. Kuiper RP, Vissers LE, Venkatachalam R, et al. Recurrence and variability of germline EPCAM deletions in Lynch syndrome. *Hum Mutat.* Apr 2011; 32(4): 407-14. PMID 21309036
53. Kovacs ME, Papp J, Szentirmay Z, et al. Deletions removing the last exon of TACSTD1 constitute a distinct class of mutations predisposing to Lynch syndrome. *Hum Mutat.* Feb 2009; 30(2): 197-203. PMID 19177550
54. Ligtenberg MJ, Kuiper RP, Chan TL, et al. Heritable somatic methylation and inactivation of MSH2 in families with Lynch syndrome due to deletion of the 3' exons of TACSTD1. *Nat Genet.* Jan 2009; 41(1): 112-7. PMID 19098912
55. Rumilla K, Schowalter KV, Lindor NM, et al. Frequency of deletions of EPCAM (TACSTD1) in MSH2-associated Lynch syndrome cases. *J Mol Diagn.* Jan 2011; 13(1): 93-9. PMID 21227399

56. Kempers MJ, Kuiper RP, Ockeloen CW, et al. Risk of colorectal and endometrial cancers in EPCAM deletion-positive Lynch syndrome: a cohort study. *Lancet Oncol.* Jan 2011; 12(1): 49-55. PMID 21145788
57. Jin M, Hampel H, Zhou X, et al. BRAF V600E mutation analysis simplifies the testing algorithm for Lynch syndrome. *Am J Clin Pathol.* Aug 2013; 140(2): 177-83. PMID 23897252
58. Capper D, Voigt A, Bozukova G, et al. BRAF V600E-specific immunohistochemistry for the exclusion of Lynch syndrome in MSI-H colorectal cancer. *Int J Cancer.* Oct 01 2013; 133(7): 1624-30. PMID 23553055
59. Kastrinos F, Syngal S. Screening patients with colorectal cancer for Lynch syndrome: what are we waiting for?. *J Clin Oncol.* Apr 01 2012; 30(10): 1024-7. PMID 22355054
60. Hampel H, Frankel WL, Martin E, et al. Screening for the Lynch syndrome (hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer). *N Engl J Med.* May 05 2005; 352(18): 1851-60. PMID 15872200
61. Aktan-Collan K, Mecklin JP, Jarvinen H, et al. Predictive genetic testing for hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer: uptake and long-term satisfaction. *Int J Cancer.* Jan 20 2000; 89(1): 44-50. PMID 10719730
62. Aktan-Collan K, Haukka A, Pylvanainen K, et al. Direct contact in inviting high-risk members of hereditary colon cancer families to genetic counselling and DNA testing. *J Med Genet.* Nov 2007; 44(11): 732-8. PMID 17630403
63. Stanley AJ, Gaff CL, Aittomaki AK, et al. Value of predictive genetic testing in management of hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). *Med J Aust.* Apr 03 2000; 172(7): 313-6. PMID 10844916
64. Hadley DW, Jenkins J, Dimond E, et al. Genetic counseling and testing in families with hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Arch Intern Med.* Mar 10 2003; 163(5): 573-82. PMID 12622604
65. Lerman C, Hughes C, Trock BJ, et al. Genetic testing in families with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer. *JAMA.* May 05 1999; 281(17): 1618-22. PMID 10235155
66. Codori AM, Petersen GM, Miglioretti DL, et al. Attitudes toward colon cancer gene testing: factors predicting test uptake. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* Apr 1999; 8(4 Pt 2): 345-51. PMID 10207639
67. Schmeler KM, Lynch HT, Chen LM, et al. Prophylactic surgery to reduce the risk of gynecologic cancers in the Lynch syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* Jan 19 2006; 354(3): 261-9. PMID 16421367
68. Burke W, Petersen G, Lynch P, et al. Recommendations for follow-up care of individuals with an inherited predisposition to cancer. I. Hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer. *Cancer Genetics Studies Consortium. JAMA.* Mar 19 1997; 277(11): 915-9. PMID 9062331
69. Guillem JG, Wood WC, Moley JF, et al. ASCO/SSO review of current role of risk-reducing surgery in common hereditary cancer syndromes. *J Clin Oncol.* Oct 01 2006; 24(28): 4642-60. PMID 17008706
70. Bonadona V, Bonaiti B, Olschwang S, et al. Cancer risks associated with germline mutations in MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 genes in Lynch syndrome. *JAMA.* Jun 08 2011; 305(22): 2304-10. PMID 21642682
71. Obermair A, Youlden DR, Young JP, et al. Risk of endometrial cancer for women diagnosed with HNPCC-related colorectal carcinoma. *Int J Cancer.* Dec 01 2010; 127(11): 2678-84. PMID 20533284
72. Auranen A, Joutsiniemi T. A systematic review of gynecological cancer surveillance in women belonging to hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome) families. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* May 2011; 90(5): 437-44. PMID 21306348
73. Calva-Cerqueira D, Chinnathambi S, Pechman B, et al. The rate of germline mutations and large deletions of SMAD4 and BMPR1A in juvenile polyposis. *Clin Genet.* Jan 2009; 75(1): 79-85. PMID 18823382
74. Aretz S, Stienen D, Uhlhaas S, et al. High proportion of large genomic deletions and a genotype phenotype update in 80 unrelated families with juvenile polyposis syndrome. *J Med Genet.* Nov 2007; 44(11): 702-9. PMID 17873119
75. Volikos E, Robinson J, Aittomaki K, et al. LKB1 exonic and whole gene deletions are a common cause of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. *J Med Genet.* May 2006; 43(5): e18. PMID 16648371
76. Aretz S, Stienen D, Uhlhaas S, et al. High proportion of large genomic STK11 deletions in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. *Hum Mutat.* Dec 2005; 26(6): 513-9. PMID 16287113
77. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Colorectal. Version 1.2021. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/genetics_colon.pdf. Accessed August 7, 2021.
78. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Colorectal Cancer Screening. Version 2.2021. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colorectal_screening.pdf. Accessed August 10, 2021.
79. Kastrinos F, Steyerberg EW, Mercado R, et al. The PREMM(1,2,6) model predicts risk of MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 germline mutations based on cancer history. *Gastroenterology.* Jan 2011; 140(1): 73-81. PMID 20727894
80. Stoffel EM, Mangu PB, Gruber SB, et al. Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline endorsement of the familial risk-colorectal cancer: European Society for Medical Oncology Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Clin Oncol.* Jan 10 2015; 33(2): 209-17. PMID 25452455

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
March 2012	New policy	
March 2013	Replace policy	Policy updated with name change and literature review; References 15, 30, 43-49, 52, 53 added. Additional medically necessary indication added for patients with endometrial cancer and one first-degree relative diagnosed with a Lynch-associated cancer.
March 2014	Replace policy	Updated with literature review through September 2013. References 24, 33-35 and 58 added. References 39-40 updated. Policy Statement added that BRAF V600E or MLH1 promoter methylation may be considered medically necessary when MLH1 is not expressed in the tumor on IHC analysis.
December 2016	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through December 29, 2015; references 59-60 added. Policy statements unchanged.
December 2017	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through July 21, 2017; references 4-6, 28-33, 35-39, 41, 51-57, 64-65, 67-70, 83 added.
December 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through July 9, 2018; references 22-36 and 92-99 added. Policy section revised to add policy statements indicating that genetic testing for SMAD4, BMPR1A, or STK11 gene variants may be considered medically necessary for juvenile polyposis syndrome and Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Information related to "at-risk relatives" deleted due to benefit considerations.
December 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through August 6, 2019; references on NCCN updated. Clarification added to objective statement "This review does not address individuals without a personal history of cancer, nor screening or presymptomatic use of genetic tests and services."
December 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through July 31, 2020; references on NCCN updated. Policy statements on juvenile polyposis syndrome and Peutz-Jeghers syndrome updated with revised NCCN diagnostic criteria. The intent of the policy statements is unchanged.
December 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through August 10, 2021; references on NCCN updated and reference added. Policy statements on MMR gene testing clarified "with tumor testing suggesting germline MMR deficiency or meeting clinical criteria for Lynch syndrome"; the intent of the policy is unchanged. The MUTYH and BRAF V600E or MLH1 Promoter Methylation Policy statements added that all other situations are considered investigational.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.