



## FEP Medical Policy Manual

### FEP 2.01.89 Laser Treatment of Onychomycosis

**Annual Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2026**

**Original Policy Date: September 2013**

**Related Policies:**

None

## Laser Treatment of Onychomycosis

### Description

#### Description

Onychomycosis is a common fungal infection of the nail. Currently, available treatments for onychomycosis, including systemic and topical antifungal medications, have relatively low efficacy and require a long course of treatment. Laser systems are proposed as another treatment option.

#### OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate whether the use of laser therapy improves the net health outcome in individuals with onychomycosis compared with topical and oral medications alone.

#### POLICY STATEMENT

Laser treatment of onychomycosis is considered **investigational**.

## POLICY GUIDELINES

None

## BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

The approach to laser treatment of onychomycosis will depend on benefit language related to definitions of medically necessary, reconstructive, and cosmetic services. Procedures are considered reconstructive when intended to address a significant variation from normal related to accidental injury, disease, trauma, treatment of a disease, or congenital defect. Not all benefit contracts include benefits for reconstructive services. Benefit language supersedes this document.

## FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Multiple Nd:YAG laser systems have been cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for marketing for the temporary increase of clear nail in patients with onychomycosis. The FDA has determined that these devices were substantially equivalent to existing devices. Table 1 lists select approved laser systems.

**Table 1. Select Laser Systems Approved for Temporary Increase of Clear Nail in Patients with Onychomycosis**

Device	Manufacturer	Approved
Nd:YAG 1064-nm laser systems		
PinPointe™ FootLaser™	PinPointe USA (acquired by NuvoLase 2011)	2010
GenesisPlus™	Cutera	2011
JOULE ClearSense™	Sciton	2011
GentleMax Family of Laser Systems	Candela	2014
Nordlys	Ellipse A/S	2016
Dual-wavelength Nd:YAG 1064-nm and 532-nm laser system		
Q-Clear™	Light Age	2011

Nd:YAG 1064-nm laser systems (FDA product code: GEX); dual-wavelength Nd:YAG 1064-nm and 532-nm laser system (FDA product code: PDX).

## RATIONALE

### Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have onychomycosis who receive treatment with laser therapy, the evidence includes systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCTs reported inconsistent results and had methodologic limitations. Clinical and mycologic outcomes differed across the trials, lacked consistent blinding of outcome assessments, and often reported outcomes on a per-nail basis without accounting for correlated measurements. A systematic review reported favorable outcomes for laser therapy; however, wide confidence intervals suggest uncertainty in the precision of the results. The published evidence to date does not permit determining whether laser treatment improves health outcomes in patients with onychomycosis. Additionally, some registered clinical trials are completed without publication of results, indicating potential publication bias. Additional well-designed, adequately powered, and well-conducted RCTs are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in "Supplemental Information" if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

No Practice Guidelines or Position Statements regarding issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) were identified.

### U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

### Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

## REFERENCES

1. Rodgers P, Bassler M. Treating onychomycosis. *Am Fam Physician*. Feb 15 2001; 63(4): 663-72, 677-8. PMID 11237081
2. Boyko EJ, Ahroni JH, Cohen V, et al. Prediction of diabetic foot ulcer occurrence using commonly available clinical information: the Seattle Diabetic Foot Study. *Diabetes Care*. Jun 2006; 29(6): 1202-7. PMID 16731996
3. Drake LA, Scher RK, Smith EB, et al. Effect of onychomycosis on quality of life. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. May 1998; 38(5 Pt 1): 702-4. PMID 9591814
4. Elewski BE. Onychomycosis. Treatment, quality of life, and economic issues. *Am J Clin Dermatol*. 2000; 1(1): 19-26. PMID 11702301
5. Gupta A, Simpson F. Device-based therapies for onychomycosis treatment. *Skin Therapy Lett*. Oct 2012; 17(9): 4-9. PMID 23032936
6. Bristow IR. The effectiveness of lasers in the treatment of onychomycosis: a systematic review. *J Foot Ankle Res*. 2014; 7: 34. PMID 25104974
7. Meretsky CR, Friday BL, Schiuma AT. Efficacy of Laser Therapy in Comparison With Other Methods for the Treatment of Onychomycosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cureus*. May 2024; 16(5): e59720. PMID 38841013
8. Bunyaratavej S, Wanitphakdeedecha R, Ungakornpairote C, et al. Randomized controlled trial comparing long-pulsed 1064-nm neodymium: Yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser alone, topical amorolfine nail lacquer alone, and a combination for nondermatophyte onychomycosis treatment. *J Cosmet Dermatol*. Sep 2020; 19(9): 2333-2338. PMID 31925917
9. El-Tatawy RA, Abd El-Naby NM, El-Hawary EE, et al. A comparative clinical and mycological study of Nd-YAG laser versus topical terbinafine in the treatment of onychomycosis. *J Dermatolog Treat*. Oct 2015; 26(5): 461-4. PMID 25669435
10. Hamed Khater M, Khatib FM. Combined long-pulsed Nd-Yag laser and itraconazole versus itraconazole alone in the treatment of onychomycosis nails. *J Dermatolog Treat*. Jun 2020; 31(4): 406-409. PMID 31157575
11. Karsai S, Jger M, Oesterhelt A, et al. Treating onychomycosis with the short-pulsed 1064-nm-Nd:YAG laser: results of a prospective randomized controlled trial. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. Jan 2017; 31(1): 175-180. PMID 27521028

12. Kim TI, Shin MK, Jeong KH, et al. A randomised comparative study of 1064 nm Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet (Nd:YAG) laser and topical antifungal treatment of onychomycosis. *Mycoses*. Dec 2016; 59(12): 803-810. PMID 27402466
13. Nijenhuis-Rosien L, Kleefstra N, van Dijk PR, et al. Laser therapy for onychomycosis in patients with diabetes at risk for foot ulcers: a randomized, quadruple-blind, sham-controlled trial (LASER-1). *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. Nov 2019; 33(11): 2143-2150. PMID 30920059
14. Sabbah L, Gagnon C, Bernier FE, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Controlled Trial Evaluating the Efficacy of Nd:YAG 1064 nm Short-Pulse Laser Compared With Placebo in the Treatment of Toenail Onychomycosis. *J Cutan Med Surg*. 2019; 23(5): 507-512. PMID 31296045
15. Xu Y, Miao X, Zhou B, et al. Combined oral terbinafine and long-pulsed 1,064-nm Nd: YAG laser treatment is more effective for onychomycosis than either treatment alone. *Dermatol Surg*. Nov 2014; 40(11): 1201-7. PMID 25322165
16. Nasif GA, Amin AA, Ragaie MH. Q-switched Nd:YAG laser versus itraconazole pulse therapy in treatment of onychomycosis: A clinical dermoscopic and mycologic study. *J Cosmet Dermatol*. Jun 2023; 22(6): 1757-1763. PMID 36716167
17. Garca-Oreja S, Álvaro-Afonso FJ, Navarro-Prez D, et al. Diode Laser and Red-Laser Photodynamic Therapy Versus Ciclopirox 8% HPCH Nail Lacquer for the Treatment of Onychomycosis: A Randomised Controlled Trial. *Mycoses*. Oct 2025; 68(10): e70121. PMID 41024682
18. Cai Q, Meng L, Liu X, et al. A randomised comparative study of 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser and Urea occlusion therapy with topical miconazole for the treatment of onychomycosis. *Lasers Med Sci*. Apr 27 2025; 40(1): 213. PMID 40287882

## POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
September 2013	New policy	Laser systems for onychomycosis are considered investigational.
September 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 18, 2014. Policy statement unchanged. References 11-13 and 15-17 added.
September 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review. References 6-8 added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2017	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, 2016; references 7-8 and 13 added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 16, 2017; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 1, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 14, 2019; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 21, 2020; references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 20, 2021; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2023	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 21, 2022; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2024	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 18, 2023; reference added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2025	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 10, 2024; reference added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2026	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 17, 2025; references added. Policy statement unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.